

Appl. No. 10/795,835  
Amdt. dated May 18<sup>th</sup>, 2005  
Reply to Office Action of April 19<sup>th</sup>, 2005

**REMARKS:**

Claims 1-33 are pending in this case. Claims 33-37 have been canceled.

In the office action mailed April 19th, 2005, the Examiner made a restriction requirement under 35 U.S.C. 121.

The current patent application has been reviewed in light of the aforementioned office action. Although Applicant respectfully traverses the current restriction requirement, Applicant respectfully elects to continue prosecution of claims 1-32 in the current application. Applicant reserves the right to present canceled claims 33-37 in a divisional patent application.

Appl. No. 10/795,835  
Amdt. dated May 18<sup>th</sup>, 2005  
Reply to Office Action of April 19<sup>th</sup>, 2005

**CONCLUSION:**

Applicant respectfully requests that prosecution of claims 1-32 continue in the current application. Applicant believes claims 1-32 are now in condition for allowance and favorable action in this regard is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,



Michelle C. Craig  
Reg. No. 52,776

Dated: 5/18/05

c/o  
Berkeley Law and Technology Group  
5250 NE Elam Young Parkway  
Suite 850  
Hillsboro, OR 97124